

1 JOSEPH T. McNALLY
2 Acting United States Attorney
3 DAVID T. RYAN
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 Chief, National Security Division
6 COLIN S. SCOTT (Cal. Bar No. 318555)
7 AMANDA B. ELBOGEN (Cal. Bar No. 332505)
8 Assistant United States Attorney
9 Terrorism and Export Crimes Section
10 1200 United States Courthouse
11 312 North Spring Street
12 Los Angeles, California 90012
13 Telephone: (213) 894-3159/5748
14 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
15 E-mail: colin.scott@usdoj.gov

16
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

20 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

22 No. CR 24-00761

23 Plaintiff,

24 [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
25 DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

v.

26 Zheng et al.,

27 Defendant.

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: [December
8, 2025]

28
The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
parties in this matter on February 14, 2025. The Court hereby finds
that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into
this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the
trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

1 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
3 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; (iii) failure to grant the
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
7 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
9 diligence; and (iv) the case is so unusual and so complex, due to the
10 nature of the prosecution and the number of defendants, that it is
11 unreasonable to expect preparation for pre-trial proceedings or for
12 the trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy
13 Trial Act.

14 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

15 1. The trial in this matter is continued from March 18, 2025
16 to December 8, 2025.

17 2. The time period of March 18, 2025 to December 8, 2025,
18 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
19 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
20 , (B)(iv), and (h)(7)(B)(ii).

21 3. Defendants shall appear in Courtroom 9A of the Federal
22 Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California on December 8,
23 2025 at 8:30 a.m.

24 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
25 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
26 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
27 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
28 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of

1 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
2 commence.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.
4

5 DATE

HONORABLE PERCY ANDERSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6
7
8
9 Presented by:

10 /s/
11 COLIN S. SCOTT
Assistant United States Attorney
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28